

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>D21H 21/18 // 17:37, 17:55, 17:56</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 98/36127</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 20 August 1998 (20.08.98)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US98/01980 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 2 February 1998 (02.02.98) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/801,048 14 February 1997 (14.02.97) US <b>(71) Applicant:</b> CYTEC TECHNOLOGY CORP. [US/US]; 1105 North Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19801 (US). <b>(72) Inventors:</b> GUERRO, Gerald, J.; P.O. Box 316, Antrim, NH 03440-0316 (US). LAWRENCE, Leigh, Ann; 97 Fennbrook Road, West Hartford, CT 06119 (US). <b>(74) Agents:</b> SCHULTZ, Claire, M. et al.; Cytec Industries Inc., 1937 West Main Street, P.O. Box 60, Stamford, CT 06904-0060 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CU, CZ, EE, GE, GH, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SD, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> PAPERMAKING METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  Papermaking processes are provided which utilize mixtures of wet strength agents and dry strength agents in amounts that provide paper formed therefrom with decreased wet strength, and hence increase repulpability, without unduly compromising dry strength. Stable compositions comprised of mixtures of wet strength agents and dry strength agents are also provided which may be advantageously used in said processes.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## Papermaking Methods and Compositions

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to mixtures of polymers with improved stability which may be used in a papermaking process to provide paper which is readily repulpable, yet still exhibits adequate wet and dry strength.

10 Paper is typically manufactured with chemical additives which tend to improve various paper properties e.g. sizing, wet strength, dry strength, etc. Additives which provide wet strength (wet strength agents) may be classified as being either "permanent" or "temporary," based on the permanence of the wet strength they provide. Temporary wet strength agents are generally distinguished from permanent wet strength agents in that they provide a certain degree of wet strength immediately e.g. 5-40 seconds after the paper is wetted, but a good portion e.g. 30-75% of this immediate wet strength is lost after 30  
15 minutes soaking in water, depending on the soaking conditions. In contrast, the immediate wet strength of a paper treated with a permanent wet strength agent tends to decay much more slowly and may often be considered permanent for many practical purposes.

20 A number of chemical treatments have been used to impart wet strength to paper, including polymers based on melamine-formaldehyde (MF) e.g. those disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,461,858, as well as synthetic cationic polymers based on polyamide epichlorohydrin, polyamine epichlorohydrin, and polyamide-amine epichlorohydrin (collectively PAE). For instance, wet strength agents based on PAE are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 2,926,116; 2,926,154; 3,733,290; 4,566,943; and 4,722,964. Specific  
25 temporary wet strength agents are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,556,932 and 4,605,702. All of the foregoing patents are hereby incorporated herein by reference.

30 As a result of the heightened awareness and increased demand for paper products containing recovered cellulose fiber, efforts have been undertaken to develop paper products which are more readily recyclable. Commercially available wet strength paper products are often difficult to repulp because they utilize relatively high levels of permanent wet strength agents. Although the formulation of paper with temporary wet strength agents would appear to be a solution to this problem, in actual practice difficulties may be encountered because the immediate wet strength obtained with temporary wet strength  
35 agents is often disadvantageously less than that obtained with permanent wet strength

agents. Also, delays in repulping may be encountered because of the time necessary for the wet strength to decay. In addition, since temporary wet strength agents typically contain reactive functional groups, they may have poor stability as evidenced by a tendency to gel or become water-insoluble on storage. Polymers solutions which have gelled, or are in the late stages of the gelling process, are no longer pourable and thus may present handling difficulties. While gelling may be partially mitigated by reducing the polymer solids of the polymer solution, this presents commercial disadvantages such as increased shipping and storage costs.

In the past, paper having greater repulpability has been produced using commercially available blends of permanent and temporary wet strength agents, and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,427,652 and 5,466,337 disclose blends of permanent and temporary wet strength agents. However, in some cases the stability of such a blend may be disadvantaged by the inclusion of a reactive temporary wet strength agent. Moreover, there is in most cases an expectation that blending will compromise some other desirable property that is provided by one or the other component. In general, the expectation is based on the well-known "rule of mixtures," which states that any particular property of a mixture is a weighted average of the properties of the individual components making up the mixture, see e.g. "Predicting the Properties of Mixtures: Mixture Rules in Science and Engineering," Lawrence E. Nielson, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1978, pp. 5-9, as well as U.S. Patent Nos. 5,496,295; 5,476,531; 5,277,245 and 4,926,458.

It is therefore an object of the instant invention to provide novel wet strength compositions that remain pourable for extended periods of time, methods for utilizing said compositions in papermaking, as well as paper having reduced wet strength (and therefore increased repulpability) without having unduly compromised dry strength, or, in the case of multi-ply paperboard, without having unduly compromised dry ply bonding strength

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now been found that compositions comprised of wet strength agents and dry strength agents may, when prepared according to the teachings herein, remain pourable for extended periods of time. It has also been found that effective proportions of wet strength agents and dry strength agents may, when used in papermaking according to the teachings herein, provide paper having lower wet strength without unduly compromised dry

strength. Therefore, according to the instant invention, there is provided paper comprised of (a) cellulosic fibers, (b) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent, and (c) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said wet strength agent, having from about 1 to about 15 % of cationic recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units; wherein the amounts of said (a), (b) and (c) are effective to provide said paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only (b) is used in place of (b) and (c); and wherein the amounts of said (a), (b) and (c) are effective to provide said paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

In another embodiment of the instant invention, there are provided compositions comprised of (a) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent, (b) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said wet strength agent, having from about 1 to about 15 % of cationic recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units, and (c) water, wherein the weight ratio of said (a) to said (b) is in the range of about 1:4 to 4:1, and wherein a sample of said composition, prepared by mixing (a) and (b) in water to provide a 15% solids composition, by weight based on total weight, remains pourable for at least about 25 days after preparation when stored at about 35° C.

In another embodiment of the instant invention, there are provided methods comprising (a) providing a paper stock, (b) mixing (i) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent, and (ii) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said wet strength agent, with said paper stock to form an admixture, (c) forming a web from said admixture, and (d) forming a paper from said web; wherein said (ii) has from about 1 to about 15% of cationic recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units; wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only (i) is used in place of (i) and (ii), and wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As used herein, "paper" is a general term that includes sheet-like masses and molded products made from fibrous cellulosic materials which may be derived from both

natural and/or synthetic sources. Paper may be prepared from any aqueous suspension of cellulose fiber and may contain other fibrous matter such as organic, inorganic, or synthetic fibers. Specific examples of paper include printing and writing papers, absorbent papers, tissue, towel, paperboard, linerboard medium, container board, or boxboard, any of which  
5 may be coated or uncoated. Paper may be formed from cellulosic fibers derived from any fiber source including, but not limited to, any bleached or unbleached hardwood or softwood chemical, mechanical or chemimechanical pulp, as well as recycled fiber from sources such as old corrugated container board (OCC), recycled newsprint, etc. Preferably, paper is formed from recycled fiber.

10 The polymeric cationic wet strength agents of the instant invention are generally polymers which, when added to a papermaking process, improve the immediate wet strength of paper produced therefrom by about 10% or more, preferably about 15% or more. Wet strength agents also tend to improve the wet strength to dry strength ratio of paper. Generally, paper which does not contain any wet strength agent has a very low ratio  
15 of wet strength to dry strength. The polymeric cationic wet strength agents of the instant invention are generally polymers which, when added to a papermaking process, provide the paper with an immediate wet strength that is about 10% or more, preferably about 15% or more, of the dry strength of the paper. Polymeric cationic wet strength agents may be permanent or temporary, preferably permanent. The permanent wet strength agents used  
20 in practicing the invention may be aminoplast polymers conventionally used in the papermaking art e.g., urea-formaldehyde and melamine-formaldehyde, but are preferably polyamine-epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin or polyamide-amine epichlorohydrin polymers (collectively "PAE"). A typical melamine-formaldehyde polymer is commercially available from Cytec Industries, Inc. under the tradename Paramel HE®. Representative  
25 examples of polymeric cationic wet strength agents are described throughout the literature. See, for example, "Wet Strength in Paper and Paperboard," TAPPI Monograph Series No. 29, Tappi Press (1952) John P. Weidner, Editor, Chapters 1, 2 and 3; U.S. Pat. Nos. 2,345,543; 2,926,116; 2,926,154; etc. Numerous permanent polymeric cationic wet strength agents are commercially available. Typical examples of some preferred  
30 commercially available permanent polymeric cationic wet strength agents include the PAE products sold by Hercules under the tradename Kymene®, e.g., Kymene® 557H, by Georgia Pacific Resins under the tradename Amres®, e.g., Amres 8855®, and by Henkel under the tradename Fibrabon® e.g. Fibrabon 36®, wet strength agents.

Temporary wet strength agents also useful in the instant invention include dialdehyde starch, polyethyleneimine, mannogalactan gum, dialdehyde mannogalactan and cationic glyoxalated polyacrylamide. Glyoxalated polyacrylamide temporary wet strength agents useful herein are described in U.S. Pat. No. 3,556,932 to Coscia. These polymers  
5 are typically reaction products of glyoxal and preformed water soluble acrylamide polymers. Suitable polyacrylamide copolymers include those produced by copolymerizing a (meth)acrylamide and a cationic monomer such as 2-vinylpyridine, 2-vinyl-N-methylpyridinium chloride, diallyldimethyl ammonium chloride, etc. Reaction products of acrylamide diallyldimethyl ammonium chloride in a molar ratio of 99:1 to 75:25 glyoxal, and  
10 polymers of methacrylamide and 2-methyl-5-vinylpyridine in a molar ratio of 99:1 to 50:50, and reaction products of glyoxal and polymers of vinyl acetate, acrylamide and diallyldimethyl ammonium chloride in a molar ratio of 8:40:2 are more specific examples provided by Coscia. These acrylamide polymers may have a molecular weight up to 1,000,000, but polymers having molecular weights less than 25,000 are preferred. The  
15 acrylamide polymers are reacted with sufficient glyoxal to provide a water-soluble thermoset polymer. In most cases the molar ratio of glyoxal derived substituents to amide substitutes in the polymer is at least 0.06:1 and most typically about 0.1:1 to 0.2:1. A preferred temporary wet strength agent has the tradename Parex 631NC® and is sold by Cytec Industries, Inc.

20 Polymeric cationic dry strength agents are generally polymers which, when added to a papermaking process, improve the dry strength of paper produced therefrom by about 10% or more, preferably about 15% or more. Preferred dry strength agents do not increase the wet strength of paper, or only increase it by about 15% or less, preferably 10% or less. Polymeric cationic dry strength agents may be natural or derived from natural products e.g.  
25 starch, natural gum, etc. Preferably, polymeric cationic dry strength agents are synthetic; generally, they are water-soluble vinyl-addition polymers made by copolymerizing monomers such as acrylamide with cationic comonomers e.g. diallyldialkylammonium halides, acid or quaternary salts of dialkylaminoalkyl(alk)acrylate, acid or quaternary salts of dialkylaminoalkyl(alk)acrylamide, etc. Specific examples of cationic comonomers include  
30 diallyldimethylammonium chloride, the methyl chloride quaternary salt of dimethylaminoethyl(meth)acrylate, and the methyl chloride quaternary salt of dimethylaminoethyl(meth)acrylamide. Alternatively, synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents may be formed by post-reaction of ionic or nonionic polymers, e.g. Mannich reaction of polyacrylamide, optionally followed by quaternization, copolymerization of vinyl acetate

with known cationic monomers followed by hydrolysis to form vinyl alcohol recurring units, etc. Preferably, synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents are so-called "cationic polyacrylamides", polymers which contain recurring acrylamide units and recurring cationic units. More preferably, synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents are copolymers of acrylamide with diallyldialkylammonium halide, most preferably copolymers of acrylamide with diallyldimethylammonium chloride (DADM). A particularly preferred cationic polyacrylamide is a copolymer containing about 10% DADM recurring units and about 90% acrylamide recurring units, by weight based on total weight. Numerous dry strength agents are commercially available, or may be synthesized by well-known methods, preferably by solution polymerization using free radical initiation. Solution polymerization methods are well-known in the art, see e.g. "Principles of Polymer Science," G. Odian,, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1981, pp. 194-215, hereby incorporated herein by reference. Solution polymerizations typically involve the polymerization or copolymerization of the monomers in substantially deoxygenated water, optionally in the presence of additives such as chain transfer agent, branching agent, pH adjusting agent, chelating agent, etc. Typical polymerization initiators include redox, thermal, and photochemical initiators.

Although the level of cationic comonomer in the synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents useful in the instant invention may be higher than 15% or even 25%, by mole based on total moles of recurring units, in practice lower levels of cationic comonomer content are usually preferred because of the desire for the dry strength agent to be FDA-approved. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requires that polymers used in certain paper applications meet stringent standards when that paper is likely to come into contact with food. Therefore, preferred synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents are FDA-approved, more preferably FDA-approved for use as dry strength agents in the production of paper and paperboard in contact with food, most preferably FDA-approved for use as dry strength agents in the production of paper and paperboard in contact with fatty and aqueous foods under the provisions of 21 CFR 176.170, or for use as dry strength agents in the production of paper and paperboard in contact with dry foods under the provisions of 21 CFR 176.180. Therefore, the level of cationic comonomer in the synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent component of the instant invention is preferably about 15% or less, more preferably about 10% or less, most preferably about 5% or less, by mole based on total moles of recurring units, and preferably about 1% or more, more preferably about 3% or more, same basis.



The molecular weights of synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents are generally about 50,000 or greater, preferably about 100,000 or greater, more preferably about 250,000 or greater. Although polymers having molecular weights above about 1,000,000 could be used, the viscosity of very high molecular weight polymer solutions may negatively impact pourability, possible leading to formulations having decreased polymer solids. Therefore, molecular weights below about 1,000,000 are generally preferred. Molecular weights are weight average and may be determined by methods well known to those skilled in the art including light scattering, size exclusion chromatography, etc. The synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents useful in the instant invention may have various molecular architectures, including linear, branched, star, block, graft, etc.

It is known in the industry that the presence of anionic species in the pulp may have a deleterious effect on the efficiency of the wet strength agent, which is usually cationic. In some cases, this adverse impact can be reduced by treating the paper stock with cationic polymers known in the industry as cationic promoters. Some examples of cationic promoters are polyethyleneimine, quaternized polyamines such as polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride, cationic starch and specific commercial products available from Cytec Industries, Inc. under the trade names CYPRO® 514, 515, and 516. Cationic promoters are not synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agents for the purposes of the instant invention because they are not polymers which, when added to a papermaking process, improve the dry strength of paper produced therefrom by 10% or 15% or more. Cationic promoters are also distinguished in that they tend to have lower molecular weights than dry strength agents and also because they are generally added to the paper stock well in advance of the wet strength agents to ensure adequate mixing and adequate contact with the fibers. In contrast, the dry strength agents of the instant invention are preferably added to the paper stock at substantially the same time as the wet strength agents.

Dry strength, immediate wet strength and dry ply bonding strength may all be measured in the usual way by means well known to those skilled in the art. Preferably, dry strength is measured in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 494 om-88, immediate wet strength is measured in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 456 om-87, and dry ply bonding strength is measured in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 541 om-89, as described in the Examples below. Most preferably, numerous samples are tested so that the strength of a particular paper is determined by averaging the results of a number of individual tests in a statistically valid fashion.

By blending amounts of a polymeric cationic wet strength agent and a polymeric cationic dry strength agent, compositions useful in papermaking are obtained. Although these compositions could in theory be prepared by mixing solutions or emulsions of the polymers and drying the resulting blend to produce a powdered polymer product, or by  
5 drying the polymers individually and blending the resulting powders, in practice it may be energy-inefficient to remove the water and also impractical because the user may need to invest in equipment suited to redissolving the powdered polymer for use. Therefore, the compositions of the instant invention are generally comprised of a polymeric cationic wet strength agent, a polymeric cationic dry strength agent, and water, and generally have a  
10 polymer solids level of about 5% or greater, more preferably about 10% or greater, most preferably about 15% or greater, by weight based on total weight. Preferably, the instant compositions are stable e.g. the ability of the components to function as desired is not unduly compromised by storage, and the composition itself remains pourable for extended periods of time. Both pourability and stability tend to be influenced by temperature, total  
15 polymer solids level, and by the relative reactivities of the components. For instance, when polymer solutions are comprised of a cationic temporary wet strength agent and a polymeric cationic dry strength agent, the solids level must often be kept at relatively low levels if long-term stability is desired because of the tendency for pourability to be adversely affected by gelation. The rate of such gelation is often accelerated by higher temperatures, higher  
20 total polymer solids content, and by higher levels of more reactive components. Since temporary wet strength agents tend to contain reactive functional groups, it follows that the inclusion of a temporary wet strength agent in the mixture can, in some cases, compromise stability. Therefore, it is generally preferred, when storage stability and pourability of the composition are desired, for both the dry strength agent and the wet strength agent to be  
25 relatively non-reactive towards one another. In practice, this means that permanent wet strength agents such as PAE are preferred over permanent wet strength agents based on melamine-formaldehyde chemistry, and also over temporary wet strength agents such as those formulated with reactive components e.g. dialdehyde, glyoxal, etc. The same considerations apply with respect to total solids level because gelation tends to be faster  
30 at higher solids levels. In commercial practice, good pourability may mean that a sample polymer composition, prepared by mixing cationic wet strength agent and cationic dry strength agent in water to provide a 15% solids composition, by weight based on total weight, remains pourable for about 25 days or more, preferably 30 days or more, after preparation when stored at about 35° C. For purposes of the instant invention, a  
35 composition remains pourable if it has a syrupy consistency e.g. a bulk viscosity of about

5,000 centipoise (cps) or less, preferably about 2,000 cps or less, most preferably about 1,500 cps or less, as measured with a rotating cylinder viscometer e.g. Brookfield viscometer at 25° C as described in the Examples below. A polymer solution that has gelled is no longer considered pourable for present purposes, even if some spurious viscosity reading could be obtained by forcing the viscometer into the gelled mass.

Commercially, wet strength agents are not usually used for dry strength development because wet strength agents tend to complicate broke recovery. When used together, it is understood that the dry strength agent and the wet strength agent are different polymers, even where, for instance, the wet strength agent provides both dry strength and wet strength and could therefore be classified as both a dry strength agent and a wet strength agent. In this context, the polymers are different if they are physically or chemically distinguishable, e.g. of different chemical structure or composition, different molecular weight, etc.

The wet strength agents and dry strength agents of the instant invention may be mixed with a paper stock in any order to form an admixture, which is then subsequently formed into paper by well-known processes, typically involving the intermediate step of web formation. For instance, to prepare the paper of the instant invention, a paper stock, typically having a consistency of about 0.1 to 1.0% is prepared. The point of addition of the wet strength and dry strength polymers can vary depending on the design of the papermaking machine and the nature of the paper product as long as the polymers have an adequate opportunity to contact the fiber before the sheet is formed. The wet strength and dry strength agents can be added at any point before the head box, such as in the stock chest, refiners, or fan pump. The admixture of paper stock, wet strength agent, and dry strength agent is then typically formed into a web, from which the paper is subsequently formed. Preferably the wet strength agent and dry strength agent are pre-mixed to form a composition that is preferably stable, as described above.

The amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent, and paper stock are generally those that are effective to provide the resulting paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only the wet strength agent is used in place of the wet strength agent and dry strength agent combined. As used herein, a "comparable paper" is one which is made in a substantially identical fashion except that only the particular wet strength agent is used in place of the total amount of wet and dry strength agent. The amounts of wet strength agent, dry

strength agent, and paper stock are also generally those that are effective to provide the paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures. Thus, the wet strength of a paper, made with a particular amount of wet strength agent and without a dry strength agent, may be reduced by replacing the wet strength agent with the same amount of a combination of wet strength agent and dry strength agent. Surprisingly, when effective amounts of the combination of wet strength agent and dry strength agent are used, the dry strength of the paper is higher than that expected based on the rule of mixtures. Preferably, amounts of wet strength agent generally range from about 0.05 to about 1%, by weight based on the total weight of the paper. Likewise, preferred amounts of dry strength agent also generally range from about 0.05 to about 1%, by weight based on the total weight of the paper. In many cases, preferred amounts of wet strength agent and dry strength agent depend on the degree of repulpability desired. Generally, easier repulpability may be achieved by the use of lesser amounts of wet strength agent, so that it is frequently desirable to use more dry strength agent than wet strength agent. The ratio of wet strength agent to dry strength agent is generally in the range of about 1:4 to about 4:1, preferably about 1:3 to about 3:1, most preferably about 2:3 to about 3:2, although amounts effective to achieve the above stated effects may sometimes be somewhat outside of these ranges. For instance, since pulp contains a natural product and may vary from batch to batch, amounts of pulp, wet strength agent, and dry strength agent that are effective under a particular set of production conditions may not be effective under different production conditions, so it is recognized that a certain amount of routine experimentation may be needed to determine effective amounts. Wet strength and dry strength agents are generally recommended for use within a predetermined pH range which will vary depending upon the nature of the polymer. For example, the Amres<sup>®</sup> wet strength agents referred to above are typically used at a pH of about 4.5 to 9. The generally recommended pH requirements for the particular polymer should also be utilized in the present invention. A pH in the range of about 6 to about 8 is preferred. Paper prepared in accordance with the invention may also incorporate other additives conventionally used in the paper industry such as sizes, fillers, etc.

In the case of multi-ply paperboard, lower wet strength may also be achieved by utilizing amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent, and paper stock that are effective to provide the resulting paperboard with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only the wet strength agent is used in place of the wet strength agent and dry strength agent combined. The

amounts of paper stock, wet strength agent and dry strength agent used are also effective to provide the paperboard with a dry ply bonding strength that is greater than the expected dry ply bonding strength based on the rule of mixtures.

5 The "rule of mixtures" refers to a means for determining the hypothetical value for a given physical property of a blend or mixture of two or more polymers. The hypothetical value represents the summation of the proportional contribution of the actual values of the physical property from each of the constituent polymers, based on the weight percents of the constituent polymers incorporated into the blend. Under the "rule of mixtures," the value for a given physical property (Property "X") of a blend of two polymers (Polymers A & B) 10 can be calculated according to the following formula: Hypothetical value of property "X" for a blend of Polymers A & B = (Weight percent of polymer A in the blend) x (actual value of property "X" for Polymer A) + (Weight percent of polymer B in the blend) x (actual value of property "X" for Polymer B).

15 It is a feature of the instant invention that paper containing effective amounts of dry strength agent, wet strength agent and cellulosic fiber may be produced that has a reduced wet strength, and hence is typically more easily repulpable, when compared to a comparable paper having just the wet strength agent in place of the combination of wet strength agent and dry strength agent. It is also a feature of the instant invention that this paper has a dry strength, (and dry ply bonding strength in the case of paperboard) that is 20 greater than that expected based on the rule of mixtures. These features may be illustrated, as in the Examples below, by preparing three sets of otherwise substantially identical papers, each having the same amount of total polymer, except that the first (comparable) paper is prepared using the wet strength agent only; the second is prepared using effective amounts of cellulosic fiber, the same wet strength agent as the first paper, 25 and dry strength agent; and the third is prepared using the dry strength agent only. The immediate wet strengths and dry strengths of the three sets of paper are then determined in the usual fashion. When prepared in accordance with the instant invention, the wet strength of the second paper is desirably lower than the wet strength of the first paper, yet, surprisingly, the dry strength of the second paper is greater than the expected dry strength, 30 based on the rule of mixtures and the dry strength results obtained on the first and third sets of paper. Therefore, it is an advantage of the instant invention that paper may be made that has reduced wet strength (and therefore increased repulpability) without having unduly compromised dry strength

It is another feature of the instant invention that preferred mixtures of wet strength agent and dry strength agent are stable and resist gelation to a much greater degree than, for instance, mixtures which include glyoxal-containing temporary wet strength agents or melamine-formaldehyde-based wet strength agents. Therefore, it is an advantage of the instant invention that higher solids products may be prepared, or products having equivalent polymer solids but increased shelf life. Both of these advantages are highly desirable from a commercial standpoint.

The following illustrative Examples are not intended to limit the scope of the instant invention.

General Handsheet Procedure: To an aqueous pulp suspension of about 0.6% (by weight) consistency composed of 1:1 hardwood:softwood fibers beaten to Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) of about 450-550 milliliters (ml), at the pH indicated below, was added the diluted (typically 1% by weight) dry strength agent and wet strength agent to provide a dosage as indicated below, reported in units of pounds per ton (lb./T), based on dry fiber. The pH was readjusted to the initial pH and the mixture was stirred briefly to facilitate contact between the polymer and the fiber. This mixture was then used to prepare several eight inch-by-eight inch webs ("handsheets") having the basis weight indicated below using a stationary deckle papermaking machine (Noble and Wood). Paper was then formed by pressing the webs between blotters (under 15 psi pressure), drying on a rotary drum drier for one minute at 115° C, post-curing for 3 minutes at 105° C, and conditioning overnight at 25° C and 50% relative humidity.

General Multi-Ply Handsheet Procedure: To make multi-ply paper for dry ply bonding tests, two 50 pound basis weight webs were prepared as above, except that the polymer dosage was split with approximately half going to each web. Multi-ply paper was then formed by pressing the two webs together between the blotters (under 25 psi pressure), drying on a rotary drum drier for one minute at 115° C, post-curing for 3 minutes at 105° C, and conditioning overnight at 25° C and 50% relative humidity.

Since it is derived from a natural product, pulp tends to vary so that different strength results may be obtained from different batches of pulp. Therefore, the same pulp was generally used for each set of comparative experiments and a blank was generally done for each set. To make the blank samples, the above procedures were followed except that no wet strength or dry strength agents were added.

General Tensile Test Procedures: Immediate wet strength was determined by tensile tests conducted in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 456 om-87. Immediate wet strength is the tensile strength retained after the paper has been wet 5-40 seconds. Dry strength was determined by tensile tests conducted in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 494 om-88. Dry ply bonding strengths were determined by tensile tests conducted in accordance with TAPPI Test Method T 541 om-89. Generally, each strength result below represents the average of about 6-12 individual tensile tests. Results below are reported in units of pounds per inch (lb./in.) for wet and dry tensile tests, and in units of mil foot pounds (mil-ft.-lb.) for dry ply bonding strength tests.

General Blend Preparation Procedure: Blends of dry strength agent and wet strength agent were prepared from polymer solutions by adding one solution to the other, diluting to the desired polymer solids level, and stirring for about one hour.

The acrylamide/DADM copolymer used in the Examples below was prepared by solution polymerization of a 95/5 (weight ratio) mixture of acrylamide and DADM in water, using amounts of free radical initiator and methylenebisacrylamide sufficient to result in an acrylamide/DADM copolymer with a molecular weight of about 250,000. The PAE, glyoxalated polyacrylamide and melamine-formaldehyde (MF) polymers were obtained commercially.

#### EXAMPLES 1-10

A blend was prepared by the General Blend Preparation Procedure, using a commercially available PAE as the wet strength agent and 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer as the dry strength agent, in the proportions indicated in Table 1. Paper was formed by the General Handsheet Procedure at two different pH levels and at an total polymer dosage of about 5 pounds/ton to form 70 pound basis weight sheets. Comparable paper, in which the wet strength agent alone was used in place of the blend, was also formed by the General Handsheet Procedure at two different pH levels and at a dosage of about 5 pounds/ton to form 70 pound basis weight sheets. The results demonstrate the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are effective to provide paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only the wet strength agent is used in place of the blend, and the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are

effective to provide the paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

Table 1

No.	Polymer	pH	Immediate Wet Strength, lb./in.	Dry Strength, lb./in.
1C	None (Blank)	6	0.91	23.1
2C	PAE (Comparable)	6	5.3	26.1
3	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	6	4.4	26.0 (25.65)*
4C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	6	0.98	25.2
5C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (90/10 weight ratio)	6	5.7	26.2 (25.65)*
6C	None (Blank)	7.5	0.9	22.6
7C	PAE (Comparable)	7.5	5.9	24.9
8	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	7.5	4.5	26.5 (24.5)*
9C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	7.5	0.9	24.6
10C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (90/10 weight ratio)	7.5	5.95	25.2 (24.5)*

C: Comparative

\* Expected Dry Strength based on rule of mixtures is shown in parentheses

#### EXAMPLES 11-20

Blends of the wet strength agents and dry strength agents shown in Table 2 were prepared by the General Blend Preparation Procedure and diluted to the indicated polymer solids level. The bulk viscosities of the resulting polymer solutions were determined after the one hour stirring period (time = 0), then stored in ovens at the temperatures indicated. Samples were periodically withdrawn thereafter for bulk viscosity measurements using a Brookfield viscometer having the appropriate spindles, until gelation was observed. Bulk viscosity is reported in units of centipoise (cps). The results shown in Table 2 demonstrate which blend samples, prepared by mixing dry strength agent and wet strength agent in water to provide a 15% solids composition, by weight based on total weight, remained pourable for at least about 25 days after preparation when stored at about 35° C. The results also demonstrate that blends having higher solids tend to gel more quickly than blends having lower solids, and that blends stored at higher temperatures tend to gel more quickly than blends stored at



lower temperatures. The results also demonstrate that blends containing permanent wet strength agents such as 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer tend to have greater stability than blends which contain more reactive components such as MF or glyoxalated polyacrylamide.

5

Table 2

10

15

No.	Storage Time, Days	Storage Temp., °C	Polymer Solids, %	Polymer Blend	Bulk Viscosity, cps
11	0	25	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	265
12	31	25	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	292
13	47	25	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	335
14	62	25	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	383
15	0	25	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	415
16	31	25	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	525
17	47	25	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	630
18	62	25	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	795
19	0	25	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	660
20	31	25	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	930

Table 2 (cont.)

5	No.	Storage Time, Days	Storage Temp., °C	Polymer Solids, %	Polymer Blend	Bulk Viscosity, cps
	21	47	25	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	1250
	22	62	25	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable
10	23	0	35	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	265
	24	17	35	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	465
	25	23	35	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	760
	26	29	35	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	1030
15	26	31	35	15	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable
	27	0	35	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	415
	28	17	35	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	999
	29	23	35	17	PAE/95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	2770
	30	27	35	17	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable

Table 2 (cont.)

5						
	No.	Storage Time, Days	Storage Temp., °C	Polymer Solids, %	Polymer Blend	Bulk Viscosity, cps
	31	0	35	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	660
	32	17	35	19	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable
10	33C	0	35	12.5	PAE / glyoxalated polyacrylamide (50/50 weight ratio)	81
	34C	24	35	12.5	PAE / glyoxalated polyacrylamide (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable
	35C	0	35	13.5	PAE / glyoxalated polyacrylamide (50/50 weight ratio)	131
	36C	19	35	13.5	PAE / glyoxalated polyacrylamide (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable
	37C	0	25	8.5	MF / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	43
15	38C	4	25	8.5	MF / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	gelled, not pourable

C: Comparative

**EXAMPLES 39-42**

A blend was prepared by the General Blend Preparation Procedure, using a commercially available PAE as the wet strength agent and 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer as the dry strength agent, in the proportions indicated in Table 3. Multi-ply paper was formed by the General Multi-Ply Handsheet Procedure at pH 6.5 and at a total polymer dosage of about 5 pounds/ton to form 100 pound basis weight sheets. The results shown in Table 3 demonstrate the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are effective to provide multi-ply paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable multi-ply paper in which only the wet strength agent is used in place of the blend, and the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are effective to provide the multi-ply paper with a dry ply bonding strength that is greater than the expected dry ply bonding strength based on the rule of mixtures.

**Table 3**

No.	Polymer	Immediate Wet Strength, lb./in.	Dry ply bonding Strength, mil-ft-lb.
39C	None (Blank)	1.5	104
40C	PAE (Comparable)	7.2	127
41	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	5.7	132 (127)*
42C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	1.7	127

C: Comparative

\* Expected Dry Strength based on rule of mixtures is shown in parentheses

**EXAMPLES 43-64**

A series of blends were prepared by the General Blend Preparation Procedure, using a commercially available PAE as the wet strength agent and 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer as the dry strength agent, in the proportions indicated in Table 4. Paper was formed by the General Handsheet Procedure at a total polymer dosage as shown in Table 4 to form 70 pound basis weight sheets. Comparable paper, in which the wet strength agent alone was used in place of the blend, was also formed by the General Handsheet Procedure at a dosage as shown in Table 4 to form 70 pound basis weight sheets. The pH was about 7.5. The results demonstrate the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are effective to provide paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only the wet strength agent is used in place of the blend, and the amounts of wet strength agent, dry strength agent and paper stock that are effective to provide the paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

Table 4

	No.	Polymer	Dosage, lb./T	Immediate Wet Strength, lb./in.	Dry Strength, lb./in.
5	43C	None (Blank)	0	0.29	18.78
	44C	PAE (Comparable)	3	3.20	23.33
	45C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (60/40 weight ratio)	3	3.29	21.65 (22.74)*
	46C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (55/45 weight ratio)	3	3.34	23.08 (22.66)*
10	47C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	3	3.59	21.55 (22.59)*
	48	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (45/55 weight ratio)	3	3.03	22.65 (22.52)*
	49	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (40/60 weight ratio)	3	2.98	22.55 (22.44)*
	50C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	3	0.4	21.85
15	51C	PAE (Comparable)	6	5.82	25.46
	52C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (60/40 weight ratio)	6	4.05	22.94 (23.52)*
	53	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (55/45 weight ratio)	6	4.67	24.64 (23.27)*
	54C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	6	3.55	22.87 (23.03)*
	55	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (45/55 weight ratio)	6	4.16	24.84 (22.79)*
	56	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (40/60 weight ratio)	6	3.97	23.15 (22.54)*
20	57C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	6	0.5	20.6
	58C	PAE (Comparable)	9	6.02	25.67
	59C	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (60/40 weight ratio)	9	4.37	24.39 (24.43)*
	60	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (55/45 weight ratio)	9	4.84	24.32 (24.27)*
	61	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	9	4.82	24.26 (24.12)*
25	62	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (45/55 weight ratio)	9	4.94	25.56 (23.96)*
	63	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (40/60 weight ratio)	9	4.48	25.19 (23.80)*
	64C	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	9	0.65	22.56

C: Comparative

\* Expected Dry Strength based on rule of mixtures is shown in parentheses

**EXAMPLES 65-68**

Two blends were prepared by the General Blend Preparation Procedure using the components and proportions indicated in Table 5. Recycled fiber pulp obtained from a commercial paper mill was used to form 100 pound basis weight multi-ply paper sheets by following the General Multi-Ply Handsheet Procedure at pH 7.0 and at a total polymer dosage of about 10 pounds/ton. The dry ply bonding strength results shown in Table 6 demonstrate the performance advantages of a 50/50 blend of PAE and 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer.

**Table 5**

No.	Polymer	Dry ply bonding Strength, mil ft.-lb.
65	None (Blank)	57
66	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	56
67	PAE / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	83
68	PAE / glyoxalated polyacrylamide (50/50 weight ratio)	69

C: Comparative

**EXAMPLES A-F**

Paper was formed by the General Handsheet Procedure at pH 6 using a series of commercially available dry strength agents and cationic promoters at a total polymer dosage of about 10 pounds/ton to form 50 pound basis weight sheets. As shown in Table 6, cationic promoters such as Cypro<sup>®</sup> 514 cationic promoter and Cypro<sup>®</sup> 515 cationic promoter do not increase the dry strength of paper by 10% or more and hence are not dry strength agents for the purposes of the instant invention.

Table 6

5		No.	Polymer	Dry Strength, lb./in.	Percentage Increase in Strength over Blank
		A	None (Blank)	21.7	N/A
		B	Cypro 514 <sup>®</sup> cationic promoter	22.2	2
		C	Cypro 515 <sup>®</sup> cationic promoter	23.4	8
10		D	95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer	25.0	15
		E	MF (melamine-formaldehyde)	25.2	16
		F	MF / 95/5 acrylamide/DADM copolymer (50/50 weight ratio)	25.7	18



We claim:

1. Paper comprised of
  - (a) cellulosic fibers,
  - 5 (b) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent, and
  - (c) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said (b),  
having from about 1 to about 15 % of cationic recurring units, by mole  
based on total moles of recurring units;wherein the amounts of said (a), (b) and (c) are effective to provide said paper with an  
10 immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable  
paper in which only (b) is used in place of (b) and (c); and  
wherein the amounts of said (a), (b) and (c) are effective to provide said paper with a dry  
strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.
- 15 2. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein said (c) is a cationic polyacrylamide.
3. A paper as claimed in Claim 2 wherein said cationic polyacrylamide is comprised  
of diallyldimethylammonium chloride recurring units.
- 20 4. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein said (c) is approved, by the United States  
Food and Drug Administration, for use as a dry strength agent in the production  
of paper and paperboard in contact with fatty and aqueous foods, or for use as  
a dry strength agent in the production of paper and paperboard in contact with dry  
foods.
- 25 5. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein said (b) is selected from the group  
consisting of polyamine epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin, and  
polyamine-amide epichlorohydrin.
- 30 6. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 which is a multi-ply paperboard.
7. A multi-ply paperboard as claimed in Claim 6 wherein the amounts of said (a), (b)  
and (c) are effective to provide said paperboard with a dry ply bonding strength  
that is greater than the expected dry ply bonding strength based on the rule of  
35 mixtures.

8. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the amount of said (b) is about 0.05% to about 1%, by weight based on the total weight of said paper.
- 5 9. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the amount of said (c) is about 0.05% to about 1%, by weight based on the total weight of said paper.
- 10 10. A paper as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the weight ratio of said (b) to said (c) is in the range of about 1:4 to 4:1.
11. A composition comprised of
- (a) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent,
- (b) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said (a), having from about 1 to about 15 % of cationic recurring units, by mole
- 15 based on total moles of recurring units, and
- (c) water,
- wherein the weight ratio of said (a) to said (b) is in the range of about 1:4 to 4:1, and
- 20 wherein a sample of said composition, prepared by mixing (a) and (b) in water to provide a 15% solids composition, by weight based on total weight, remains pourable for at least about 25 days after preparation when stored at about 35° C.
- 25 12. A composition as claimed in Claim 11 wherein said (a) is selected from the group consisting of polyamine epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin, and polyamine-amide epichlorohydrin.
13. A composition as claimed in Claim 11 wherein said (b) is a cationic polyacrylamide.
- 30 14. A composition as claimed in Claim 13 wherein said cationic polyacrylamide is comprised of diallyldimethylammonium chloride recurring units.
- 35

15. A composition comprised of

- 5 (a) from about 1% to about 15%, by weight based on total, of a cationic wet strength agent selected from the group consisting of polyamine epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin, and polyamine-amide epichlorohydrin,
- 10 (b) from about 1% to about 15%, by weight based on total, of a cationic polyacrylamide comprised of from about 1 to about 15 % of diallyldimethylammonium chloride recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units, and
- (c) water,

wherein the weight ratio of said (a) to said (b) is in the range of about 2:3 to 3:2, and

15 wherein a sample of said composition, prepared by mixing (a) and (b) in water to provide a 15% solids composition, by weight based on total weight, remains pourable for at least about 25 days after preparation when stored at about 35° C.

16. A method comprising

20

- (a) providing a paper stock,
- (b) mixing (i) a polymeric cationic wet strength agent and (ii) a synthetic polymeric cationic dry strength agent different from said (i) with said paper stock to form an admixture,
- 25 (c) forming a web from said admixture, and
- (d) forming a paper from said web;

wherein said (ii) has from about 1 to about 15 % of cationic recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units;

30

wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a comparable paper in which only (i) is used in place of (i) and (ii), and

35

wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

- 5        17.    A method as claimed in Claim 16 wherein said (i) is selected from the group  
         consisting of polyamine epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin, and  
         polyamine-amide epichlorohydrin.
- 10       18.    A method as claimed in Claim 16 wherein said (ii) is a cationic polyacrylamide.
19.    A method as claimed in Claim 18 wherein said cationic polyacrylamide is  
         comprised of from about 1% to about 10 % diallyldimethylammonium chloride  
         recurring units, by mole based on total moles of recurring units.
- 15       20.    A method as claimed in Claim 16 wherein said (i) and said (ii) are mixed with  
         said paper stock at substantially the same time.
21.    A method comprising
- 20       (a)    providing a paper stock,
- (b)    mixing a water-soluble composition with said paper stock to form an  
         admixture, said water-soluble composition being comprised of
- (i)    from about 1% to about 15%, by weight based on total, of a  
         cationic wet strength agent selected from the group consisting of  
         polyamine epichlorohydrin, polyamide epichlorohydrin, and  
25       polyamine-amide epichlorohydrin, and
- (ii)   from about 1% to about 15%, by weight based on total, of a  
         cationic polyacrylamide comprised of from about 1 to about 15 %  
         of diallyldimethylammonium chloride recurring units, by mole based  
         on total moles of recurring units;
- 30       (c)    forming a web from said admixture, and
- (d)    forming a paper from said web;

         wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper  
         with an immediate wet strength that is less than the immediate wet strength of a  
35       comparable paper in which only (i) is used in place of (i) and (ii), and

wherein the amounts of said (i), (ii) and paper stock are effective to provide said paper with a dry strength that is greater than the expected dry strength based on the rule of mixtures.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 98/01980

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 D21H21/18 //D21H17:37, D21H17:55, D21H17:56

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 D21H

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	US 5 674 362 A (UNDERWOOD RICHARD T ET AL) 7 October 1997 see the whole document	1-3, 5, 8-21
A	US 2 884 058 A (SCHULLER WALTER H ET AL) 28 April 1959 see claim 1; examples 2, 5	1-3, 5, 9-21
A	US 5 032 226 A (WINIKER ROBERT) 16 July 1991 see claims; example 1	1-3, 5, 9-21

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 April 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/05/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Songy, O

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 98/01980

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5674362 A	07-10-1997	AU 2269097 A WO 9730221 A	02-09-1997 21-08-1997
US 2884058 A	28-04-1959	NONE	
US 5032226 A	16-07-1991	DE 3804776 A AU 2975489 A DE 3883742 D EP 0328756 A JP 2005040 A	24-08-1989 17-08-1989 07-10-1993 23-08-1989 09-01-1990